·			inc(
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Notice of Allowability	09/909,709	KUEHR-MCLAREN ET AL.	
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael Pyzocha	2137	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGOT the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this apport or other appropriate communication GHTS. This application is subject to	plication. If not include will be mailed in due	ed course. <b>THIS</b>
1. This communication is responsive to <u>07 February 2005</u> .			
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-46.			
3. The drawings filed on 20 July 2001 are accepted by the Exa	aminer.		
<ul> <li>4.  ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unital a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the:  1.  ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 2.  ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 3.  ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * Certified copies not received:  Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMITHIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.</li> <li>5. ☐ A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submit INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give 6. ☐ CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must (a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson 1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date  (b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date  Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.5 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the paper No./Mail Date</li> <li>7. ☐ DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depose attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT Feach sheet.</li> </ul>	been received.  been received in Application No cuments have been received in this communication to file a reply ENT of this application.  Itted. Note the attached EXAMINER as reason(s) why the oath or declarate to be submitted.  on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- Amendment / Comment or in the Comment of the drawing he header according to 37 CFR 1.121(content).	national stage applicational stage application of the front (not the d).  must be submitted. I	quirements IOTICE OF
Attachment(s)  1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No./Mail Date  4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	5. Notice of Informal P 6. Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Dat 7. Examiner's Amendr 8. Examiner's Stateme 9. Other	(PTO-413), te ment/Comment	ŕ

Application/Control Number: 09/909,709

Art Unit: 2137

## DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. Claims 1-46 are pending.

2. Arguments filed 02/07/2005 have been received and considered.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Applicant's argument that the modified Gennaro, CSU, and 3. Wagner system fails to disclose would not transmit packets with a group MAC without including an associated MAC with ones of the packets is persuasive. Therefore the following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the claimed limitations of the transmission and reception of packets sent over a SSL-based protocol connection along with the generated group MAC, wherein ones of the plurality of communication packets do not include an associated packet MAC would not have been obvious at the time of the invention to one skilled in the art. Using the group MAC in an SSL-based protocol, as above, would not have been obvious since SSL-based protocols attach a MAC to each packet sent. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Application/Control Number: 09/909,709 Art Unit: 2137

4. Applicant's arguments: the packets of the modified Gennaro, CSU, and Wagner system do not comply with the SSL packets of Applicant's invention; Gennaro is directed to digital signatures not MACs; Gennaro is related to message streams; Wagner teaches away from modifying the SSL packet MAC construction; Gennaro fails to disclose the "record count"; and the modified Gennaro, CSU, and Wagner system fails to disclose would not transmit packets with a group MAC without including an associated MAC with ones of the packets are not persuasive.

The packets of the modified Gennaro, CSU and Wagner system comply with the SSL packets of Applicant's invention because when using the SSL based communication of Wagner the data being transmitted are SSL packets as described in Applicant's specification on page 12 lines 22-24 that all SSL-based protocols include a MAC for each packet.

Gennaro is directed to the use of digital signatures, but Applicant is referred to the bottom of page 3 where Gennaro discloses, "if the receiver were only interested in establishing the identity of the sender, a solution based on MAC would suffice." This shows the use of MACs could be used in the system.

Application/Control Number: 09/909,709

Art Unit: 2137

Gennaro discloses breaking the streams into blocks (see page 3 paragraph 3) and the modification of CSU would be for those blocks to be packets.

Wagner teaches modification of SSL, where stated that "SSL 2.0 uses a weak MAC construction" teaches that SSL 2.0 needs a better MAC construction and therefore should be modified.

Gennaro teaches the "record count" as disclosed at page 3, paragraph 3, where the table has a table of contents and that table of contents would show the hash of each block.

## Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Wong et al, Golle et al, Miner et al, Park et al, Perrig et al, and CMU disclose methods for using group MACs. Metzger et al discloses IP-MAC, Hoffman and Dierks et al disclose the use of TSL.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Pyzocha whose telephone number is (571) 272-3875. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00am - 4:30pm first Fridays of the bi-week off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Caldwell can be

Application/Control Number: 09/909,709

Art Unit: 2137

Page 5

reached on (571) 272-3868. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MJP

ANDREW CALDWELL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Indrew Caldlet a